Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Tsonko Kolev,^a* Todor Todorov^b and Rosica Petrova^b

^aBulgarian Academy of Sciences, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Acad. Bonchev Str. Bld. 9, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria, and ^bBulgarian Academy of Sciences, CL Mineralogy and Crystallography, Rakovski str. 92, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria

Correspondence e-mail: kolev@orgchm.bas.bg

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.126 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis(phenylguanidinium) carbonate monohydrate

In the title compound, $2 C_6 H_5 NHC(NH_2)_2^+ \cdot CO_3^{2-} \cdot H_2O$, the phenylguanidinium cations and carbonate anions are arranged to form planes parallel to *bc*. The water molecules are located between these planes. A three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds is observed in the crystal and H atoms of the guanidinium cations and water molecules are involved. The phenylguanidinium cations show a conformation different from that observed in other guanidyl derivatives.

Received 11 December 2001 Accepted 20 December 2001 Online 11 January 2002

Contribution No. I to the 'Molecular and crystal, engineering of some octupolar cations'.

Comment

In the title compound, (I), there are two independent phenylguanidinium cations, Phg1 and Phg2 (Fig. 1). They have different geometry in spite of the similar positioning of the phenyl and guanidine moieties. The torsion angles C1-N13-C11-C16 and C2-N23-C21-C26 are, respectively, 54.4 (2) and 79.7 (2)°. Similar conformational non-equivalence is observed in arginine glutamate hydrate (Bhat & Vijayan, 1977), arginine dihydrate (Lehmann *et al.*, 1973) and L-arginine hydrogen squarate (Angelova *et al.*, 1996).



The phenyl groups of Phg1 are situated facing one another and form hydrophobic layers parallel to bc (Fig. 2). The Phg2 molecules and carbonate anions are located on both sides of the layers. The water molecules are situated between these layers. H atoms of the guanidinium groups and water molecules take part in an extensive network of hydrogen bonds, connecting the layers in the c direction. The observed different conformations of the two cations are due to the different hydrogen-bonding systems involving atoms N12 and N21, because the only conformational freedom of the phenylguanidinium cation is rotation about the N(guan) - C(phen)bond. The first guanidinyl group is hydrogen bonded to a carbonate O atom and interacts with the adjacent phenyl ring. The second one is bound to the water molecule in two different equivalent positions. To the best of our knowledge, only one compound, apart from the title compound, containing the phenylguanidinium cation, has been characterized by X-ray diffraction, viz. bisphenylguanidinium squarate (Kolev et al., 1997). The conformation of the phenylguanidinium cation in the latter structure is different

© 2002 International Union of Crystallography

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved



Figure 1

The independent entities of the title compound with atom labels and 50% probability ellipsoids for non-H atoms.

with respect to Phg1 and Phg2 and the C3-N3-C4-C5 torsion angle is 43.14° . We can conclude that the conformation of the cation is very sensitive to the environment.

The IR spectrum in the solid state (KBr pellet) confirms that the phenylguanidine exists as a cation. We assign the strong sharp bands at 3435 cm^{-1} to the asymmetric stretching vibration of the water molecule. There are six strong broad bands between $3390-2750 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. They are assigned to the stretching N-H vibrations, connected with N-H···O hydrogen bonds.

Experimental

The title compound is commercially available as powder (EGA Chemie, Germany). The crystals obtained after recrystallization from water-methanol solution have a melting point of 415–417 K, higher than that of the crude product, 413–415 K. In both cases, the product melts with decomposition. Colorless crystals suitable for X-ray single-crystal analysis were grown from solution in doubly-distillated water at room temperature. The compound was characterized by elemental analysis and spectroscopic methods.

Crystal data

$2C_{7}H_{10}N_{3}^{*} \cdot CO_{3}^{2-} \cdot H_{2}O$ $M_{r} = 350.39$ Monoclinic, $P2_{1}/c$ $a = 15.527$ (3) Å b = 10.648 (5) Å c = 13.081 (5) Å $\beta = 125.98$ (2)° V = 1750.1 (11) Å ³ Z = 4	$D_x = 1.330 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\$\alpha\$ radiation Cell parameters from 22 reflections $\theta = 17.9-19.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Prismatic, colorless $0.21 \times 0.19 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer ω -2 θ scans 8538 measured reflections 4209 independent reflections 2691 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.033$ $\theta_{max} = 28.0^{\circ}$	$h = -20 \rightarrow 16$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 17$ 3 standard reflections every 500 reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 0.8%



Figure 2

Packing of the molecules, viewed down the b axis. The hydrogen bonds are indicated by dotted lines.

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0611P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	+ 0.1716P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.126$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.013$
4209 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
232 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
independent and constrained	Extinction coefficient: none
refinement	

Table 1

I I and a second second second second second	(A	0)
HVATAGEN-DADAING GEAMELTV	1 4	- 1
	141.	
	× 2	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
OW−HW1···O3	0.87 (2)	1.94 (3)	2.7899 (19)	165 (2)
$OW-HW2\cdots O1^{i}$	0.86 (3)	2.03 (3)	2.868 (2)	166 (2)
$N21 - H21A \cdots OW^{ii}$	0.86	2.01	2.866 (2)	170
$N21 - H21B \cdots OW^{iii}$	0.86	2.51	3.067 (2)	123
$N22 - H22A \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.86	2.12	2.8830 (19)	147
$N22 - H22B \cdots O2$	0.86	1.91	2.769 (2)	175
N23-H23···O1	0.86	1.91	2.750 (2)	164
$N11 - H11A \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.86	2.05	2.889 (2)	164
N11−H11 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.86	1.98	2.831 (2)	170
$N12-H12A\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.86	2.03	2.878 (2)	167
N13−H13···O1	0.86	1.94	2.7721 (17)	163

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) -x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (iii) x, y - 1, z; (iv) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989); data reduction: *DATARED* (Vasilev, unpublished); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*II (Johnson, 1976).

We thank the Alexander von Humboldt-Siftung, Bonn–Bad Godesberg, Germany, for financial support.

References

- Angelova, O., Velikova, V., Kolev, T. & Radomirska, V. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 3252–3256.
- Bhat, T. N. & Vijayan, M. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 1754-1759.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). *CAD*-4 *Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kolev, T., Stahl, R., Preut, H., Bleckmann, P. & Radomirska, V. (1997). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 212, 415–416.
- Lehmann, M. S., Verbist, J. J., Hamilton, W. C. & Koetzle, T. F. (1973). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 133–137.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.